1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

73 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Agent Robert Edwards. 1

But at this point here's what I will say based on Mr. Hicks' statements, which I take to be, in effect, avowals that he can lay a foundation for the fact that Ms. Yates is a nurse practitioner, and that this report was done by Nurse Practitioner Yates for the Easter Seals Blake Foundation, and that was done for the purposes, I take it, I'll hear about.

I will overrule the State's foundational objection to Nurse Practitioner Yates' report. I will say that it's pretty bare bones and simply lists categories, and as it stands it's not the most helpful report I've ever seen. But with that, for whatever value it may have, the foundational objection will be overruled at this point.

I will, therefore, deny or, well, either the defendant's motion to continue has been withdrawn, or if not withdrawn I'll rule on it. It's denied. We will go ahead today with the completion of the presentence hearing and with sentencing to proceed thereafter today. So with that, we will go ahead.

Ms. Ransom, you would like to call your next witness; is that correct?

MS. RANSOM: Yes, Your Honor. The State calls

THE COURT: Agent Edwards, come forward

19

20

21

22

23

1

25

The clerk will administer the oath or please. affirmation.

### (Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Take the witness stand, please, sir. And, Agent Edwards, I'd like to make sure that we can all hear your answers when you give them, so when you answer, please speak into the microphone. You can adjust it, you can pull it towards you if you need to.

Ms. Ransom, you may proceed.

# AGENT ROBERT EDWARDS,

having been first duly sworn to state the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MS. RANSOM:

- Good morning, sir. Q.
- Good morning. How are you? Α.
- Can you please state your full name? Q.
- My name is Robert Edwards. Α.
- And where do you work? Q.
- I am a special agent with Immigration and Α. Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security Investigations.
  - And how long have you worked there? Q.

22

23

( )

25

I joined Homeland Security Investigations approximately three years ago, I got advanced training in sex crime investigations and child exploitation investigations.

Q. Thanks. Sorry. I misunderstood.

And, if you know, approximately how many sex crimes involving children have you investigated over the past three years?

- A. Cases? Dozens. As far as viewing images and child pornography videos, I've seen thousands.
- Q. Were you involved in an investigation involving Leizza Adams?
  - A. I was.
  - Q. Is she present in the courtroom today?
  - A. She is.
- Q. Would you please point to where she is and describe her clothing?
- A. Yes. She's right behind you. She's wearing a long skirt with blue stripes and a maroon tank top, and she's got a ponytail.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, note identification for the record?

THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show.

- Q. when did you become involved in an investigation involving Leizza Adams?
  - A. My agency received a tip in February 8th of

2017. It was a tip involving a video that was uploaded to the internet out of New Zealand. That tip was forwarded to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children in Washington, DC, which then they started looking into the tip in order to send it out to the appropriate agency and geographical region. They were able to match the photo of the offender in the child pornography video to be that of Paul Adams, residing in Bisbee, Arizona. That's where we got the tip that day.

- Q. And when you say video, is this a clip or a long video?
- A. It's a long video. It runs approximately 9 -- 9 minutes and 17 seconds, I believe.
- Q. Based upon your knowledge of the length, have you seen it?
  - A. I have seen it.
- Q. And remind me how many videos and pictures have you seen in the course of your HSI involvement?
  - A. Thousands. Thousands.
- Q. Is it easy to jumble things up based upon that volume?
  - A. It certainly is.
  - Q. Do you remember this video?
  - A. Specifically.

Why? Q.

2 3

4

5

6

So this video is one of the worst I've ever seen. There's a specific -- the act itself is difficult. There's also dialogue that goes along with the act, which had it stand out in my mind and

7

8

How -- what is the video depicting? Is it focused upon the perpetrator or the victim?

continues to stand out in my mind.

9

10

11

12

Predominantly the victim. The video, again, it runs approximately 9 minutes and 20 seconds in It shows the Adams' oldest daughter, M-1, laying there with no clothes on her bottom half. The camera is focused on the child's vagina, which you can also see the perpetrator, who is Paul Adams, rubbing

He attempts numerous times to insert his penis

13 14

his penis and rubbing his penis on M-1's vagina.

16

15

into M-1's vagina. At one point he then goes and 17 18

attempts to orally stimulate the child. Approximately

19

a minute and a half, two minutes Paul Adams is seen orally stimulating M-1. Once Paul Adams is done doing

20 21

that, the rest of the video is turned over to M-1. M-1

22

takes the cell phone from Paul Adams, and she records

her own sexual assault at the hands of Paul Adams.

23

Q. And while the victim was forced to record her own sex assault, was she able to capture Paul Adams'

( ) 25

face?

- A. She was. And screen shots were taken of Paul Adams' face from the video, and that's how the positive identification of Paul Adams was made.
  - Q. What did you do after identifying Paul Adams?
- A. After -- so we received the tip. We identified Paul Adams through his Visa application picture on his passport, and then we also, there was an investigator familiar with Paul Adams from a previous investigation who had personal knowledge of Paul Adams during the investigation. He was also able to positively identify Paul Adams that day.
  - Q. Did you secure a search warrant?
  - A. We did.
  - Q. pid you personally go execute it immediately?
- A. Myself, no. We had a team of agents go to the residence of Paul Adams and Leizza Adams. And then myself and a small team went to the Naco Border Patrol Station where we arrested Paul Adams on sight.
- Q. Was Paul Adams working for Border Patrol at the time of his arrest?
  - A. He was, ma'am.
  - Q. Did you interview Paul Adams?
- A. We did, extensively. During the interview, which took place inside the United States Border Patrol

Abuse started when MI Sor byp

M-2 - 3-6 week

r.

¥8

352c

s

``

8

5

10

11

12

15

14

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

service vehicle, Paul Adams made some statements about the video, admitted to knowledge of the the had made the video, and that he had been sexually assaulting not only M-1 but M-2 over the course of their life. How old was M-1 in February 2017 when all this 0.

station in Naco and then directly thereafter in my

- came to light?
  - she was approximately a year old, however --
  - I'm talking about M-1 right now. Q.
- I'm sorry. M-1. M-1, she was 11 years old at the time, if memory serves me correctly.
- And Paul Adams admitted to abusing her throughout the duration of her life?
- He explained that he started abusing her approximately five years from the date that we put him in handcuffs.
  - Q. okay.
- So she was six, seven years old when the abuse started for her.
  - And then how about M-2; how old was M-2?
- M-2, again, when we served the search warrant, I believe she was approximately a year old at the time. However, videos and pictures I was able to find during the course of my search warrant show that her abuse

started approximately three to six weeks old.

- Q. And did Paul Adams admit what he was doing to M-2 specifically, or did the photographs make that explicit?
  - A. A little bit of both.
  - q. okay.
- A. So a lot of the videos and photographs that we found of M-2, it was Paul Adams' penis, erect penis, and then M-2's -- he was attempting to have oral sex with M-2, he was also attempting to have vaginal sex with M-2, and through Paul's own admissions during our interview after his arrest he said that he found it hard to have vaginal sex with M-2 because her body was so small, and his penis would not fit into her vagina.
- Q. Did Mr. Adams admit as to where this abuse took place?
- A. He did. He said it happened at the home in Bisbee, Arizona.
- Q. Were his statements consistent with the video evidence you ultimately collected and viewed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Paul Adams admit to any sexual misconduct with any other children in the household?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was he asked?

- 2
- ذ
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11.
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 22

- 23
- 1
- 25

- A . He was.
- Were all the other children male? Q.
- They were. Four males and two females. Α.
- Was there any discussion as to whether or not 0. the children ever witnessed their siblings being abused?
- There was. To my knowledge, the children were all forensically interviewed by child forensic interviewers. And the children were not aware of each other's abuses.
- Did Paul Adams say whether his wife knew what 0. he was doing?
- He said that -- and this is to quote Paul Adams during the interview -- he said that he has the perfect lifestyle. He was boasting on a chat group that he has the perfect lifestyle where he can have sex with his two daughters and his wife doesn't care and she knows.
- Was Paul Adams arrested at the conclusion of 0. his interview?
  - He was. Α.
  - Did you then proceed to the Adams residence? Q.
  - I did. Α.
  - Do you know about what time you arrived? Q.
  - I must have got there maybe 7 or 8:00 o'clock Α.

- Q. This was in Bisbee, Arizona?
- A. It was. I believe the address was 1625 Vista Grande in Bisbee.
  - Q. Can you recall the house, what it looked like?
  - A. I do, specifically.
  - Q. okay.
- A. As I got there, the house was already under search. There was stuff everywhere. It was very cluttered, disorganized. I had thought my agents had started to make that mess, and in reality that's how they found the home.
  - Q. What was the size of the home?
  - A. Approximately 1,600 square foot.
  - Q. Was it single-story, two-story?
- A. A single-story ranch, standard Arizona style construction, thin walls, two-by-fours, drywall.
  - Q. What's the layout?
- A. It was a very open concept style home. The living area, the dining area, and the kitchen were all open. The bedroom doors, you could see the bedroom doors from almost anywhere in the center of the house, very open concept.
- Q. And did anyone involved in the investigation prepare a sketch of the layout?

20

21

22

23

25

- A. They did.
  - Q. And did you provide that to my office for use at this hearing?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. RANSOM: I'll note for the record I'm handing a copy to defense counsel.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- Q. I'm handing you what's been marked State's Exhibit 2. Can you please look at that document and let me know if you recognize it.
  - A. I do.
  - Q. And what is that document?
- A. This is the standard Homeland Security

  Investigation sketch that we complete on every search

  warrant we conduct.
  - Q. Did you prepare this?
- A. I did not. This was prepared by Special Agent Neal Wildgen, as notated in the top left corner.
  - Q. Did you or Neal save this in HSI records?
  - A. We did.
- Q. Did you provide it to the State for use in these proceedings?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And this is a true and correct copy of the sketch you provided to the State?

( 1

#### That is correct. A.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, I move to admit State's Exhibit 2.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. HICKS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 2 shall be marked and received.

- You mentioned the walls were thin. Why did you make that comment?
- Well, we made that -- we noticed when we were there that while we were conducting our search warrant, we break into teams to tackle different areas of the house, and being since the house was so cluttered, it took an extensive amount of agents. We noticed that while we were searching, we could hear what was going on on the other side of the house while we were inside the other bedroom.
- Q. All right. And were you able to communicate with agents during the search without radios?
  - We were.
  - Q. How did you do that?
- Just by speaking; not yelling, just speaking to each other.
- Were any members of the household present during the search?

20

21

22

23

1

- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Who was present?
- A. Leizza Adams was present, as well as the six children. The six children were sequestered in one of the Homeland Security vehicles away from the house, but Leizza Adams was going about the house gathering things for the children.
- Q. Did you know whether she had already been interviewed by the time you arrived?
  - A. I did. And she was.
- Q. Did you know whether she was aware of why you were all there?
  - A. She was made aware at that time.
- Q. And did you know what she was advised as to why agents were searching the home?
- A. As far as I know, FBI agents spoke with her, and they explained to her that we were here on a tip of child exploitation being taken at the house.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether she knew it was her own children?
  - A. At that point she did.
  - Q. What was her demeanor when you were there?
- A. Her demeanor was different than I expected it to be. I would expect, and in my previous occasions and past experiences the other parent is oftentimes

⊥4

<u>1</u>  emotionally distressed, charged, sometimes combative with agents. Leizza Adams was not like that. She took it as this was normal, she took it as she was not surprised by the fact. In fact, I noticed that she was worrying more about trying to find where the children's backpacks were and where their instruments were for school the next day than actually comforting her children.

- Q. Was she interacting with any of the children?
- A. Very minimally. She was kind of ordering them around the house. When she couldn't find something, she would bring them in and kind of yelled at them to find where it was, and then they were being ushered back out of the house.
  - Q. Did you arrest Leizza Adams that night?
  - A. We did not.
  - Q. And why not?
- A. At that time we did not have probable cause for arrest.
- Q. Did you have any further interactions with Leizza Adams thereafter?
- A. I did on a previous -- on a next occasion I was asked to serve subpoenas to her for the federal case against her husband, Paul Adams. I served her subpoena, she acknowledged her subpoena, and that was

it.

2

ز

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

. 4

- Q. You said you did not have probable cause to arrest Leizza Adams.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. If she had admitted she knew the abuse was going on to agents, would you have arrested her?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. What was your understanding as to what she had been asked about the abuse by agents at the scene?
- A. It was, to my knowledge, she was asked if she had any idea that the abuse of the children was going on, to which she answered no.
- Q. Okay. Aside from the subpoena, did you have any further interactions with Leizza Adams?
- A. We conducted a free talk on behalf of the State on August 31st, and that was my next interaction with Leizza Adams.
  - Q. And that was approximately a year ago, 2017?
  - A. Actually almost a year ago, yes.
  - Q. What is a free talk?
- A. A free talk is a situation where we bring in a defendant in a case, and we give them an opportunity to tell us the truth, you know, the whole truth, with certain safeguards. Those safeguards being that if the truth is told, the information will not be used against

you in a court of law. It kind of goes to the principle of a free talk. In that case we were very much interested in what Paul Adams, what his role was, what further information needed to be taken for the case against Paul Adams himself.

- Q. And you were present for the whole free talk?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And did you hear Ms. Adams receive the rules that she had to tell the truth in order to obtain the benefits of the free talk?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Had you reviewed any of Leizza Adams' statements to other authorities before the free talk?
- A. Before the free talk, no. I'm sorry, yes, I shared my reports with FBI agents and also Detective Borquez with CCSO.
- Q. Were you able to familiarize yourself personally with her prior statements to the police before participating?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you understand her statements during the free talk to be generally consistent with what she had previously told authorities?
- A. Again, I did not have a lot of knowledge on the interviews of Leizza Adams. I did read the

1.3

reports. There were some inconsistencies.

- Q. What inconsistencies can you remember?
- A. Specifically, she explained that she had certain rules regarding the children, specifically the daughters, how they were not allowed to be left alone with Paul Adams. As the free talk went on, an inconsistency grew within the free talk itself, where that was expanded to the scope of all the children, after being asked why that was specifically for only just the female children. She said that later in the free talk that that was actually for all the kids and not necessarily just the children -- not just the female daughters.
- Q. Were there any inconsistencies regarding why she had this rule in the first place?
  - A. Inconsistencies?
- Q. Was she ever asked why she had these rules about her own husband not being allowed around his kids?
- A. She explained that she was a victim of sexual abuse herself, she did not want the same thing happening to her daughters that was -- that had happened to her as she grew up.

She also explained that there was an incident at what they refer to, the Adams family referred to as

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 1.2

13

⊥4

1.5

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

( 1

25

the Dojo, which I believe was a karate place the kids participated in. There was an incident at the Dojo where Paul Adams was giving piggy back rides to some of the other children in the class, and some of the parents and even the instructor noticed that his hands were farther up the legs, more cupping the buttocks of the children more so than what was necessary, and they asked that he not return to the Dojo because of that incident.

Those are some of the reasons why Leizza Adams told us that she didn't allow Paul Adams to be around specifically her daughters.

- Did Leizza Adams report that she had been sexually abused by her own father?
- At the time, no, she did not, not when talking to the FBI agents.
- So was it ever asked of her "If your father didn't abuse you, why would you keep Paul Adams away from his own children due to you being abused by a stranger?"
- Correct. And her answers continued to change. At first it was it's just not right for a man to be touching a little girl like that. Again, we explained that it's their father. She said, no, it's not allowed. To the point where we specifically asked --

Lei339 Know

she brought up the fact that she wouldn't let the girls specifically sit on Paul Adams' lap. Paul Adams would let M-1 sit on his lap while they drove the vehicle into the property. She was 11 or so at the time, and she was more concerned with the fact that he was breaking -- he was allowing her to break the law. And then she also went back and said, well, now it's because I didn't want her sitting on his lap, it's not right for a girl to be sitting on the lap of a man. The inconsistencies went around that.

- Q. During the free talk was she asked if she knew anything about sexual abuse in the home?
  - A. She was.
  - Q. Did she have an answer for that?
  - A. She said she had no knowledge of it.
  - Q. Were there any inconsistencies there?
- VA. There were inconsistencies. We later found out that she did have knowledge of the sexual abuse of at least one of the daughters. I believe Leizza Adams herself referenced that she knew in 2010.
  - Q. Did she tell you that during the free talk?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was she ever equivocal at all about not knowing about the abuse during the free talk?
  - A. No.

J. Knowled J. Knowled Abuse smeker

J

- Q. Just adamant denials?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Any stories or versions of events with respect to her own personal knowledge?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Did Mrs. Adams discuss anything that she had done personally during the free talk that gave you any concern?
- A. She explained that shortly after we arrested Paul Adams that she was cleaning the house, as she said. During her cleaning of the house she dropped her cell phone, which became inoperable and was destroyed. She also found numerous documents and pornographic images. Basically she shredded that stuff, and she said herself she would shred anything that had Paul Adams' name on it and anything that had pornographic images on it.
- Q. And she made clear this was after Paul Adams' arrest?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you show anything to Leizza Adams during the free talk?
- A. We did. So the original -- when we sorved the search warrant, we were able to find thousands of pieces of child pornography, many of it to include M-1

and M-2. We showed redacted images of some of the pieces of the child pornography to Leizza Adams in that free talk situation. Redacted photos meaning that we blur out any sexual organs; however, you can still see what's going on just by the position of the bodies.

- Q. And did you show Leizza Adams photos, redacted photos, of both M-1 and M-2?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What was her reaction?
- A. Again, her reaction was not what I would have expected. Again, when we do this, most parents become enraged, upset, emotionally unstable. Leizza Adams didn't even bat an eye. She didn't move. I thumbed through approximately five to seven pictures that were redacted of M-1 and M-2. She really didn't have any questions, she didn't say anything, she just looked at them and kept moving on. It was emotionless.
  - Q. Any surprise even?
  - A. No, nothing.
- Q. Okay. Were you present during the first day of this mitigation hearing?
  - A. I was.
- Q. Did you hear any information during the first day of this mitigation hearing that surprised you?
  - A. Yes. I heard, I believe it was Border Patrol

I have. I spoke to Bishop John Herrod, who

Who provided you with the name of Bishop John

That was, again, Agent Warr. She explained

And this was based upon her testimony at the

And why did you want to speak with Mr. -- Dr.

was the Bishop at the ward in Bisbee where Paul and

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

additional investigation?

Leizza Adams went to church.

that he was there at that time.

Correct.

0.

Q.

Α.

Q.

hearing?

Herrod?

Herrod?

6

9

10

11.

12

73

14 15

16

18

17

20

19

22

21

23

( )

- Dr. Herrod. I was curious as to what he knew, maybe where he could point us in the direction if there were additional victims. Basically we were curious to see what he knew about what Leizza knew about the abuse of M-1 and M-2.
- And that was because he was the bishop in 2010?
  - That's correct. Α.
  - Were you able to locate Bishop Herrod? Q.
  - We were. Α.
- Was he surprised that you were speaking with Q. him?
- No, he wasn't. He later told me at the conclusion of my consensual interview with him that he expected me, and that Border Patrol Agent Warr had explained to Dr. Herrod that I'd likely be coming by to talk to him.
- Had you told Agent Warr to convey that information to Dr. Herrod?
  - Absolutely not. A.
  - would you have wanted her to? Q.
  - NO. Α.
  - Why not? Q.
- well, there's an element of surprise that Α. criminal investigators take when coming to speak with

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- people who may have information. The element of surprise helps that investigator get the real truth at onset versus a tale that has been conjured up in their head to minimize their involvement in said criminal activity.
  - Q. Did Bishop Herrod speak with you?
  - A. He did.
  - Q. Did he know Paul and Leizza Adams?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. How did he know them?
- A. Again, Mr. Herrod explained that he was the bishop at the Bisbee ward from approximately 2010 through 2012.
  - Q. Okay. Actually was he back to 2004 a bishop?
  - A. He was, correct.
- Q. Okay. So definitely from the 2010 to 2012 period?
  - A. For sure, yes.
- Q. Did you ask him about the Adams family's reputation at the church?

the church members, but they all had a feeling

A. I did. I asked, you know, what were they like at church, and he said that they were described by many people in the congregation as being off and weird. There was no indication of any sexual abuse from any of

( 1

something was off, as he described it.

- Q. Did Bishop Herrod know of any actual misconduct between Paul Adams and his children?
  - A. He did.
  - Q. Okay. Did he talk to you about it?
- A. He did. He explained to me that Paul Adams was taking a sort of counseling session, as Mr. Herrod explained. The counseling session described by him being that the bishop speaks with a member of the church about topics to see if they need any help. During one these sessions, Paul Adams admitted to Mr. Herrod that he had been sexually assaulting his oldest daughter M-1.

Once Mr. Herrod heard this, he had brought Leizza Adams into the same counseling session, so there were three of them in the counseling session at this point, and then Mr. Herrod had Paul turn and explain to Leizza exactly what he had just told him, to which Paul did, he explained his sexual molestation of M-1 to Leizza Adams in that counseling session.

- Q. Did Bishop Herrod tell you approximately when this took place?
- A. He described it to me it was between 2011, 2012. It was on the tail end of his tenure in Bisbee as a Bishop.

( )

- Q. Okay. Did the Bishop, aside from actual sexual molestation or assaulting of M-1, did the Bishop have any further detail about what Paul Adams was doing with M-1?
- A. He did. During the counseling session Paul Adams explained to Bishop Herrod that Paul Adams was visually -- he was taking video of M-1 orally stimulating Paul Adams. He said that he had taken video of this, and he's done it numerous times.
- Q. And that information was conveyed to Leizza, Adams?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Did Bishop Herrod recall Leizza Adams' reaction to this news?
- A. Again, Bishop Herrod had the same thought on it as I did. He said that she appeared emotionless; in fact, I believe he called her "emotionally dead" was his term for it.
  - Q. Did she express surprise?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did Bishop Herrod explain why he brought Leizza Adams in the room?
- A. He brought Leizza Adams into the room because he wanted, you know, he wanted the children to be safe, and he thought if he -- if Paul Adams told Leizza Adams

-ز

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

19

18

2021

22

23

25

while Bishop Herrod was observing, that Leizza would either remove the children from the situation or at least, very least, keep the kids away from Paul.

- Q. Did Bishop Herrod consider calling the police?
- A. He did not. He did not.
- Q. Did he give Leizza Adams the option of having Paul Adams turn himself in?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Leizza Adams respond as to what she planned to do?
- A. Again, she responded that she will just do her best to keep the children away from Paul.
- Q. Did Bishop Herrod understand that Leizza Adams was successful thereafter in keeping her kids away from him?
- A. He believes that he was not. The counseling sessions continued with Paul Adams, to which Paul continued to explain that he was sexually assaulting his oldest daughter, M-1.
- Q. And did Bishop Herrod bring Leizza Adams in again?
- A. Yes. He didn't have a count but he said there were numerous times that he brought her in.
- Q. So it wasn't just one time Leizza Adams heard this?

4 5

- A. No. And he couldn't tell me the count. He said it was over numerous counseling sessions.
- Q. Did Bishop Herrod say how long, the amount of time these counseling sessions transpired with Paul Adams where he would bring Leizza in?
- A. As far as duration of the counseling session of minutes or --
  - Q. No.
  - A. -- over years?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. It was over years. He said that when he left the Bisbee ward in 2012 he turned over the situation to the next bishop coming in, who I believe is Kim Mauzy, Bishop Kim Mauzy, who started in 2012 and was there through 2017.
- Q. Okay. Did Bishop Herrod do anything after he was learning of all the these incidents with M-1 and Paul Adams?
- A. Bishop Herrod explained to me that he consulted, as he referred to it -- and I mean no disrespect -- he called Mormon headquarters in Salt Lake City, Utah, and asked for legal advice as to what to do. The church conveyed that he needs to continue counseling sessions, and that there's no duty to report to authorities due to the clergy-penitent privilege.

- Q. So Bishop Herrod, in bringing Leizza Adams back into the room each time, did he explain to you what he was hoping to accomplish?
- A. He was hoping that Leizza Adams would fix the situation, that Leizza Adams would leave the house with the children, thus not letting them being the victim of sexual assault, continued sexual assault.
- Q. Now, the information conveyed to you by Bishop Herrod related to the Mormon church, is there an ongoing criminal investigation related to that information?
  - A. Yes, there is.
  - Q. And are you here under a Touhy letter?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Have you been instructed not to --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- discuss beyond that?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Do you know if, as far as the disclosures between Paul Adams, Leizza Adams, and the Bishop, do you know if he conveyed that information to anyone else aside from who you've already identified, Bishop Mauzy and Salt Lake City?
- A. You're talking of Mr. Herrod, who else he spoke to about it?

- Q. Yes.
- A. I do not have knowledge of that, no.
- Q. Did Bishop Herrod talk about excommunication proceedings related to Paul Adams?
  - A. He did.
- Q. Did he understand why Paul Adams was excommunicated?
- A. He did. He said it was for the sexual molestation of his oldest and youngest daughter.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, repeat that, "of his oldest" --

THE WITNESS: And youngest daughter.

THE COURT: Oldest and youngest daughter. Thank you.

- Q. Was the topic of Paul Adams being excommunicated from the church, did that come up during the course of your investigation?
  - A. It did.
  - Q. Do you know who brought that topic up?
- A. I believe it was Leizza Adams during the free talk in August 31st of 2017.
- Q. Did she explain why Paul Adams was excommunicated?
- A. She told us it was because he was having a sexual relationship with his mother, and that was not

9 10

11 12

13

1.5

14

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

25

to Mormon church standards.

- So she didn't tell you it was due to 0. molestation of M-1?
  - No. Α.
- Did you have any cause to believe that Leizza Adams would know what went on in the excommunication proceedings?
- Yes. Again, Bishop Herrod and the individuals Α. I've interviewed have spoke to me about the hearing. Leizza Adams was present during the disciplinary hearing where Paul Adams was allowed to, as they said, plead his case before the disciplinary panel.
- Do you recall some of the testimony during the first day of our mitigation hearing regarding Mrs. Adams being fearful of Paul Adams?
  - Yes, I do. Α.
- What do you recall from the first day? I know it's been a while.
- Specifically, I remember again Border Patrol Agent Warr explaining on the stand that she was very close with Leizza Adams, and that Leizza Adams had confided in her that, you know, he was abusive to her and that she should leave but she can't. Border Patrol Agent Warr explained that she could help her get out, even bring other agents in to help move her out, get

20

21

19

22 23

1

- her out of the situation, and I believe they were even talking about going to California.
- During the free talk with Leizza Adams, was Q. the topic of domestic abuse brought up?
  - Not to my knowledge. Α.
  - If so you don't recollect it? Q.
  - If so it was so minimal that we went past it. Α.
- Do you recall Leizza Adams asserting that she Q. was abused in any way by Paul Adams during the free talk?
  - Α. No.
- Do you recall Leizza Adams claiming to be Q. fearful of Paul Adams during the free talk?
  - Α. No.
- Do you recall her asserting that she was able to enforce these rules in the household, in fact?
  - Α. Yes.
- Based upon your investigation and interactions Q. with Leizza Adams, did you perceive that she was in a domestic abuse relationship or situation?
  - I did not perceive that, no. Α.
- Based upon your investigation and the Q. testimony during the first day of the hearing, was Leizza Adams without resources to leave the environment that she was in?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.5

- A. No.
- Q. Why do you say that?
- A. She had the support of her friend, Border Patrol Agent Warr. Border Patrol Agent Warr had additional people willing to help out, the Mormon church itself was also available to Leizza Adams as a way to help, and the congregation that goes to that church.
- Q. We were talking about the video that sparked the investigation at the outset of the --
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Is there audio in that video?
  - A. There is.
- Q. In the course of your investigation did you preserve the audio of the video?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Have you separated it from the actual video itself?
  - A. I have.
  - Q. Did you provide a copy of that to the State?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. It's on a thumb drive?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm showing you a blue thumb drive. Do you recognize this thumb drive?

13 14

16

18

19

17

21

20

23

22

- 1
- 2
- .
- 4
- 500 T.S.
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- ,13
- 14
- 1.5
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
  - 7
- 25

- A. That is it, yes.
- Q. Okay. How long is the audio?
- A. It's approximately 9 minutes and 20 seconds.
- Q. How many people are speaking on the audio?
- A. There's two individuals.
- Q. Do you recognize the voices?
- A. I do. One of the individuals is M-1, and the other is Paul Adams.
- Q. And you placed the audio on the thumb drive that I'm holding up in front of you?
  - A. I have.
- Q. And you listened before the hearing today to confirm that this is, in fact, the audio of the video of Paul Adams molesting M-1 or raping M-1?
  - A. I did.
- MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, before seeking admission, the State would like to insure that if admitted, this is filed under seal due to the confidential and graphic nature and privacy interests of the minor sexual assault victim.
- THE COURT: Let's hear from the defense.

  Mr. Hicks, any objection to this thumb drive being offered under seal?
- MR. HICKS: I do, Your Honor. I object to the playing of the thumb drive. There's witnesses in the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

\* 25

courtroom, there's people in the courtroom, there's news reporters in the courtroom.

THE COURT: There hasn't been a request at this point for the thumb drive to be played in open Right now there's simply a request to have it sealed, so that if it's offered, it's offered under seal. That's the way I understand it.

I have no objection to it. I do MR. HICKS: have an objection to her playing it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, one thing at a time. so, yes, the thumb drive -- and just for clarification, Agent Edwards, I think you said this, but just to make absolutely sure, the thumb drive that Ms. Ransom has and is now holding up, that has no video component; correct?

That is correct, Your Honor, it THE WITNESS: has no visual depiction of child pornography, only audio, and it's password protected.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE WITNESS: It's password protected as well.

THE COURT: So the thumb drive may be sealed. And has it been marked for identification? It will be marked for identification as, I'm sorry, 3? Okay. State's Exhibit 3 under seal. It's not in evidence at this point, it's simply been marked for identification

under seal.

And with that, Ms. Ransom, you may proceed.

MS. RANSOM: And, Your Honor, the State does move to admit it under seal and would ask Your Honor to review it in camera.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hicks?

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I object to it being admitted. It's been marked. The only reason for this video to be reviewed or --

THE COURT: Not a video.

MR. HICKS: In camera or in court is simply to enrage or inflame the trier of fact as to the sentencing. We know what happened. And the only possible reason -- and for that reason, I mean, the prejudicial value of it, whatever the prejudicial value is, we stipulate that Paul Adams molested his daughter. But the prejudicial value of the court reviewing it far outweighs any educational value as far as sentencing.

THE COURT: Well, one thing comes to mind, and this relates to the earlier testimony that the walls, interior walls of the home were thin, if this exhibit, Exhibit 3, could be used to show that the statements made could be heard somewhere else within the house. But is there anything, Ms. Ransom, on that thumb drive that would indicate the presence of anyone other than

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

25

Paul Adams and the victim?

MS. RANSOM: Yes, Your Honor, in fact, there is, and that is the State's intent for offering for Your Honor's consideration. Again, due to the privacy of the victims and the nature of the assault, the State does not wish to publicize this to the public. It's asked to move to admit it and have Your Honor, who is going to be evaluating sentencing, consider it in camera and then hear additional testimony from this agent, who the State anticipates will note that you can hear during the video the presence of children, young children, laughing in the house, which shows how thin the walls were, as well as based upon his investigation where this assault took place, which is pertinent both to others in the house knowing and hearing it, and Ms. Adams' continued claims or attempts to minimize her knowledge of what was going on in her household.

THE COURT: So what someone could hear, pardon me, listening to the audio portion, in addition to what's going on between the perpetrator and the specific victim, is there can be heard laughter from other children?

MS. RANSOM: Yes, Your Honor, at one point -the agent would testify for himself, I wouldn't be
providing the testimony, but based upon his reviewing

1.

· . j

الر

of the recording on multiple occasions, yes.

THE COURT: Is there any indication on the audio recording that Mrs. Adams can be heard, any statements that are attributed to her or any way of indicating whether she was in the house or not at the time?

MS. RANSOM: I would have to ask the agent that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RANSOM: I think that the location where this act was perpetrated is somewhat telling in that respect.

THE COURT: I'll allow you to lay more foundation. If there's no indication that Mrs. Adams was present at the home at the time that the -- that Paul Adams was molesting his daughter, as heard on the recording, if there's no indication she was there, I would be inclined to sustain Mr. Hicks' objection. If there is some indication that she was there, then I would be inclined to overrule it. So you may go ahead and lay further foundation if you can.

- Q. This audio that's been offered as State's Exhibit 3, Agent Edwards, you listened to it again before coming to testify today?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Do you know, based upon your investigation, not only from the audio but also of the video, where in the household this act was committed?
- A, Yes. We were able to observe certain things in the background and foreground of the video that indicate that the 9 minute 20 second video was created in the common area, right in the living room, appears to be on one of the couches.
- Q. And in referencing I think it's admitted State's Exhibit 2, and I will provide a courtesy copy to the court.

THE COURT: That's the diagram. Thank you.

- Q. Do you know which couch you believe the act was perpetrated upon?
- A. Yeah. So, Your Honor, you have three couches there. Pictured in the middle it's going to be on the couch furthest to the left.

THE COURT: So near letter E.

THE WITNESS: One more left.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Right there. Your Honor, we can reasonably say that because in the background there's a fireplace against the far wall, we can see said fireplace mantel. There was also a TV that was standing on the TV stand, and you can also see that TV.

That TV was right across from letter E on that wall.

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.

- Q. In the audio are Paul Adams and victim M-1 speaking at a normal tone of voice?
- A. At first they were whispering. As the video went on, M-1 speaks up. She's talking a little louder. Towards the end of the video she's pleading for him to stop. I wouldn't say at the top of her voice, but her voice is elevated.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to why they may have been whispering at the outset of the video?
- A. I believe they were whispering because they didn't want anybody to hear what was going on.
- Q. Is there an indication from the video that there are others in the household while this act is being perpetrated?
- A. Yes, there are. There are numerous occasions throughout the video that you can hear people playing -- children playing in the background. You can hear specific sentences being said by said children. Being that this took place in the common area of the house, in the center of the home, those children could not have been very far to be picked up by the microphone on the camera that Paul Adams was using.

22

23

7

25

- 1 2 5 4 5 6 7 8 9
- During the course of your investigation, when Leizza Adams was describing her rules, what was one of her major rules?
- That she never lets the children be alone without -- with Paul Adams. She's always there when the children are there with Paul Adams.
- Based upon what you heard when you were listening to the audio of this video, who do you think could have been in the household?
- Leizza Adams, based on the fact that I hear the children in the background, other than M-1, who is being assaulted.
- MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, based upon this additional foundation, the State moves to admit under seal and ask that Your Honor review in camera Exhibit 3.

THE COURT: Mr. Hicks?

MR. HICKS: May I ask one question?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. HICKS: Did you hear Leizza Adams on the video?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

MR. HICKS: No other questions.

THE COURT: Did you hear any other adult voice on the video or on the audio?

()

THE WITNESS: It's hard to say.

THE COURT: Other than Paul Adams, of course.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. It's hard to say for certain. We do hear the voices of children. There are some voices that are garbled that we just cannot understand.

THE COURT: Did you hear any of the children whose vices you could hear, again, other than M-1, say something to someone who, by the words used, would have been or might have been an adult, such as, "Mommy, look what I have," or anything along those lines?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I cannot say with reasonable certainty.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any additional foundational questions of the witness before I rule on the objection?

BY MS. RANSOM:

- Q. Is it children mostly giggling or you can hear some sentences?
- A. You can hear some sentences. In certain audio clips you can hear the sentences. I do not recall what was said by those children. They do appear to be relatively close to where the sexual assault was taking place because you can hear them playing.
  - Q. Are the noises made by the children followed

17

by these garbled sounds that you weren't able to discern?

- A. That is correct. And it almost sounds like the Charlie Brown skits where you hear the children speaking, but the teachers are whatever.
- Based upon your personal experience of walking around the home, is it possible if someone was speaking in a low voice telling children to come into another room, that that could have been producing the garbled noise?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, the State does move to admit under seal and ask that Your Honor review in camera Exhibit 3.

THE COURT: Mr. Hicks, further record on that? No, Your Honor. I renew my MR. HICKS: objection.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I think it's pretty obvious from what I've heard that the defendant's late husband molested this child as well as the younger daughter, and that clearly happened from Agent Edwards' testimony on the video, which, thank goodness, no one is asking me to take a look at. The audio wouldn't by itself show whether Mrs. Adams was there at the time that the acts were

done as shown on the video and as recorded on the audio. So I will sustain the objection. The exhibit will remain marked, it will remain sealed and will be available for review by a higher court, if necessary.

You may proceed.

### BY MS. RANSOM:

- Q. Based upon your analysis of the audio, would someone inside the house, even in another room, be able to hear the exchanges between Paul Adams and M-1?
- A. Absolutely. Specifically, toward the end of the video where M-1 is raising her voice pleading with him to stop.
- Q. Based upon your investigation, did the Adams family get a lot of visitors, adult visitors?
  - A. Adult visitors, not a lot. They had a few.
- Q. So if that garbled audio in the home were an adult, based upon your investigation, who would that be?
  - A. It would be Leizza Adams.
- Q. Based upon your investigation, did you, before hearing what you've heard on day one of the mitigation hearing, did you have any reason to question Leizza Adams' claims that she didn't know anything of the sexual abuse in her home?
  - A. No, I didn't.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

- We did go over some of the inconsistencies Q. that she provided. Did they give you cause for concern before she admitted to knowing to the probation officer of the abuse?
  - Α. Yes.
  - What were those concerns? Q.
- Specifically, the rule where the children weren't allowed to sit on his lap. That's not normal for a parent-child relationship. You know, your daughter can sit on your lap and it's not abnormal among any other household. That rule, specifically, stuck out to me as cause for concern that there was something going on there more than what Leizza Adams had explained to us.
- How about you mentioned there was a red flag regarding Leizza Adams' own conduct after Paul Adams' arrest?
- That is correct. Specifically, with the broken cell phone that she accidentally broke, as well as her shredding the documents that had anything with his name on it, to include pornographic images that she Instead of turning that over to investigators to further the investigation into Paul Adams, she took it upon herself to destroy said documents.
  - Even though she dropped her cell phone, did 0.

Lison (now

22

23

25

she provide the HSI with the broken cell phone?

- A. No, she did not.
- Q. And this was after Paul Adams' arrest?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And as far as Bishop Herrod's description of the numerous times he brought Leizza Adams in to explain, remind me of the time frame that Leizza Adams heard about Paul Adams molesting M-1.
- A. Again, through testimony that we heard from BP Agent Warr, she knew in 2010. Bishop Herrod explained to me that he explained to Leizza that it was happening starting in 2011, 2012 at the tail end of his tenure at the ward.
- Q. Based upon your experience, do parents simply forget that their children are being molested by their spouse?
  - A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Would you have expected Leizza Adams to disclose that information to authorities during the course of your investigation?
  - A. At the earliest possible opportunity, yes.
- Q. Do you think Leizza Adams knew what was happening to her daughters, M-1 and M-2?
  - A. Yes, I do.
    - MS. RANSOM: Nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We will take a brief recess. We'll take ten minutes, come back, and upon resumption, Mr. Hicks, you may proceed with cross-examination. At recess.

(Recess held from 10:54 to 11:10 a.m.)

THE COURT: We are continuing with State of Arizona against Leizza Adams, CR-2017-425. Ms. Adams is present, both the attorneys are here, case detective is here, the CASA and the GAL for the victims are present, and Agent Edwards is on the stand. Yes?

MS. RANSOM: Just, sorry, very briefly.

Because Ms. Prudhomme was identified in the defense's disclosures to me late last week and this morning as a likely witness, I had just asked her -- because I wasn't sure until recently that she may testify -- I just asked what her position was, and she asked to review her adult probation report, so she actually has a copy of the statement, and she may come up to the State's table to return it. She has the State's copy. I just want to make sure that was clear on the record.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hicks, you may proceed with cross-examination.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, may I stand here at the podium just so I can see him better?

3

# 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

25

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

# BY MR. HICKS:

- Q. Agent Edwards, there was some things that I didn't really understand exactly when you were testifying. I didn't understand on the issue of the phone, is it your understanding that the FBI or law enforcement took the phone from Leizza, took it and at a later time returned it, and sometime after that she broke it?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. But whatever was on the phone the FBI and/or the police or whoever wanted to get access to it, got access to it?
  - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. okay. And the breaking of it occurred after it was returned?
  - A. If, in fact, it was the same phone, correct.
- Q. As to her destroying everything that belonged to Paul Adams, is it unusual to destroy a spouse's things when that spouse has done the things like Paul Adams has done?
- A. Yes, in my opinion it is. I believe that that stuff should have been turned over to authorities

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

1

25

instead of destroyed.

If I told you I was in a trial about ten years ago where the law enforcement officer told the person with the photos that were in question to destroy them, even though there was a criminal trial going to come up, because the photos were pornographic and were on the phone, would that surprise you?

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Relevance, calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Well, it's a marginal relevance. I']] allow it, however. Overruled. You may answer if you can.

- I would say policing has changed in the last ten years where that would not be recommended at all.
- No, it wasn't recommended then either but it happened; right?
  - I'm not sure if it did or not.
- Okay. But you took that to mean when she destroyed whatever belonged to Paul Adams, and including pornographic stuff, you took that to mean she was hiding evidence?
  - That is my belief.
- I thought I heard you being asked a question  $Q_{\star}$ of is it your understanding -- let me ask you this. Did you record every session you had with Leizza Adams?

\_13

. 1

- A. So my interviews of Leizza Adams were limited. I predominantly focused on Paul Adams. The reports that I have read were conducted by other agents and agencies regarding the interview of Leizza Adams with the exception of the free talk on August 31st of 2017.
- Q. Okay. And I thought I heard the question asked of Leizza, "Was Leizza sexually abused by her father," and you kind of scurried all over the place but you didn't answer that directly. Do you have any information in any of those reports that Leizza was sexually abused by her father?
- A. Leizza Adams explained that she was sexually abused, I forget if it was by her father; she explained to us that she was sexually abused.
- Q. Well, she explained to you she was sexually abused, I understand that, but is there anything in any report that says she was sexually abused by her father that you're aware of?
  - A. As of right now I do not recall.
  - Q. You spoke with Dr. Herrod?
  - A. That is correct, Bishop Herrod.
- Q. Bishop Herrod. Bishop Herrod is or at that time was a bishop, he's also a medical doctor; correct?
  - A. To my knowledge, yes.
  - Q. And he has a practice and has had a practice

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

for many years in Sierra Vista?

- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Did he tell you that he was at that time and up to today Leizza's doctor?
- A. I believe he did mention that he was the doctor for Leizza Adams.
- Q. Did he tell you at that time or at any time that he was also the doctor for Paul Adams?
  - A. I do not recall.
  - Q. I'm talking about the medical doctor.
  - A. Dr. Herrod, correct, I do not recall.
- Q. Did Dr. Herrod describe Leizza's reaction to, when he explained or had Paul explain what he had done, in your report Dr. Herrod told you that Leizza's response, her emotional reaction, was not appropriate; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And he explained that the reaction that Leizza gave was that of someone who was "emotionally dead," and you have that in quotation marks as his exact words?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. When you interviewed Leizza, you indicated on direct when you interviewed Leizza when Paul Adams was arrested that was your perception as well?

22 23

( )

25

4

6

10

8

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

25

A. That's correct.

- Again, I did not interview Leizza Adams at the onset of the arrest of Paul Adams. Again, that was handled b the FBI agent and one of my fellow Homeland Security Investigations agents. I reviewed the report from said interview.
  - And did you interview Leizza at any time?
- I did not. The only time I spoke to Leizza in an interview-type setting was the free talk on August 31st of 2017.
- Did I hear you correct on direct that the perception that you got was, from reading some report by some agent that interviewed her, that the perception of that person was that she was emotionally dead?
- That is correct. Not only the reports but in speaking to those agents as well.
- Now, you brought up this issue that Dr. Q. Herrod had Leizza come in on several occasions and had Paul Adams tell her that he was and was continuing to molest their older daughter; correct?
- That is correct, Leizza Adams was brought into numerous counseling sessions.
- You indicated that you did not hear that from Q. Leizza prior to the hearing that began this sentencing hearing?

- Q. And it was your understanding -- were you the agent that was approached by Leizza that told him that she wanted to tell him something?
- A. No, that was the FBI agent from the earlier sentencing.
  - Q. You were here for that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That he told her to go to her attorney?
- A. That's because criminal proceedings were already underway for Leizza Adams. It was more appropriate to go to the attorney versus going to the authorities at that point.
- Q. That was after her attorney at that time and she had done a free talk?
  - A. I do not recall.
- Q. Were you aware that me, Perry Hicks, had called the prosecutor in late November, early December and told her everything involving the correction to the free talk, and that Bishop Herrod, Dr. Herrod, had called her in, that she recalled that from her diary?
- A. No, I was not made aware of that. This is the first time I'm hearing it.
- MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I have an affidavit by myself here. I move for admission of it for purposes of this hearing.

21 22

23

25

( )

THE COURT: The record may so show.

That's all I have Your Honor. MR. HICKS:

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hicks.

Redirect?

MS. RANSOM: Very briefly.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MS. RANSOM:

- Agent Edwards, I think you mentioned on the phone, did you have any indications after Paul Adams was arrested that he was attempting to communicate with Leizza Adams?
- We did. We received jail mail regarding the attempted communication between Paul and Leizza Adams.
- Was there also any jail phone calls indicating that Paul Adams may have been either directly or indirectly attempting to get in contact with his wife?
- Yes, either directly or through other members of the church.
- Is it possible that that dropped and broken phone had relevant information related to those events?
  - Yes. Α.
- I want to make sure I correct the record on something, probably because it was bad questioning on my part. The time frame of Bishop Herrod's

interactions with Paul and Leizza is 2010 to 2012; correct?

- A. Through his own admission, yes.
- Q. And I think at one point you said something to the effect of Bishop Herrod being -- or Paul Adams being excommunicated for his molestation of M-1 and M-2?
- A. That is correct, I misspoke. He was being excommunicated for his molestation of M-1.
  - Q. Because M-2 was born after 2013?
  - A. That is correct.

MS. RANSOM: I just wanted to make sure the record was clear there. I don't have anything further.

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Agent Edwards, you may go ahead and step down. If you hand me that marked exhibit, I'll pass it along to the clerk. Thank you. Be careful of the step as you go. I believe, Ms. Ransom, at least at this time you don't have any additional witnesses.

MS. RANSOM: True, Your Honor, yet.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hicks, if you would like to call a rebuttal witness, you may.

MR. HICKS: I would call Adam Alcantara.

THE COURT: Mr. Alcantara, please come