2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

forward, the clerk will administer the oath or affirmation.

# (Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Take the witness stand, please, sir. And, sir, you were here in the courtroom earlier today when I gave these instructions to a previous witness. The same instructions will apply to you. want to make sure that we can all hear your answers when you give them, so when you answer, please speak into the microphone. You can adjust it, you can pull it towards you if you need to.

Mr. Hicks, you may proceed.

## ADAM ALCANTARA,

having been first duly sworn to state the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. HICKS:

- Would you state your name? Q.
- My name is Adam Salimento Alcantara. Α.
- could you pull that microphone a little closer Q. to you, Adam?
- Yes, sir. Adam Salimento Alcantara is my full name.

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

19

18

21

20

22

23

25

- And, Adam, where do you live? Q.
- I live in San Diego, 6767 Rio Plato Court. Α.
- And, Adam, what is your relationship to Leizza Q. Adams?
- I am the eldest brother of Leizza Adams, the Α. eldest of five. She is number four in that line-up.
- Okay. And in that regard, her family is here 0. today in the courtroom?
  - Many of us, yes.
  - okay. Could you tell us who's present? Q.
- My youngest brother, Joseph, is in the maroon shirt, second row, His wife --
  - Hang on. What does Joseph do? Q.
  - Joseph is in the Army Reserves.

THE COURT: Sir, the gentleman has stood up so I can identify him. Thank you. You may have a seat if you like.

- His wife? Q.
- Maria, is sitting next to him. She may stand. Α. THE COURT: Thank you.
- My father, Venancio Ojune (phonetic) Alcantara, standing. My mother, his wife, Lourdes Alcantara, standing. My brother, number two in line, Almai or Jay Alcantara. And my wife, Eneida Correa Alcantara.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- C
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 18

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 25

- Q. Thank you. Remind me what does Jay do?
- A. Jay is a nurse.
- Q. Okay. And what do you do?
- A. I'm a Border Patrol agent.
- Q. How long have you been a Border Patrol agent?
- A. Since March 2009.
- Q. so almost ten years?
- A. Almost, sir.
- Q. What do you do as a Border Patrol agent?
- A. Part of my duties are, along with patrolling the border, is our missions are to interdict terrorists, weapons of mass destruction from terrorists, smuggling, whether human smuggling or narcotics smuggling.
- Q. And, Adam, do you have another job with Border Patrol as well?
  - A. I have other duties as a Border Patrol agent.
  - Q. And what are those?
- A. I am a chaplain in the Border Patrol and also an advisor in the Exploring program.
- Q. Let's talk about being a chaplain in Border Patrol; do you get paid for that?
- A. It is a collateral duty. I do not get paid extra for that.
  - Q. How did you become a chaplain in the Border

A. I had to apply. I had to respond to a solicitation for volunteers who wanted to serve as chaplains in the Border Patrol. I had to send a memorandum through my chain of command to the people who would be evaluating my memo, then they would give me a call so I had to receive — I had to undergo an interview, a panel interview, much like a hiring interview. And it was patterned very closely to after that of my own Border Patrol hiring interview, in which several agents, in this case chaplains in the Border Patrol, interviewed me as to why I wanted to become Border Patrol chaplain, what I believed my strengths were, what I could contribute as a chaplain.

- Q. Did you get any training to become a chaplain?
- A. I did. Approximately two and a half weeks, almost three weeks, if I remember correctly, in the federal law enforcement training center located in Artesia, New Mexico.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, is there relevance to this?

THE COURT: Well, I'll give the defense some leeway in presenting it, but I trust we'll see what relevance it has. Overruled for now. You may proceed.

Q. Adam, as a chaplain, do you counsel Border

- A. I do.
- Q. Tell the judge what you do.
- A. Among the many duties of a chaplain is one of the most important is helping agents to deal with, cope with, and overcome post-traumatic stress, stress caused from critical incidents. As you know in law enforcement, especially in the Border Patrol, we deal with death, we deal with injuries, we deal with assaults, we deal with agents who have been shot, agents who had to pull the trigger on a suspect.

We deal with -- we help agents to cope with loss in their family, that could be through death, it could be divorce. We also serve -- when an agent dies, we go to the families, personally, and bring them the bad news, we conduct funerals, we officiate over funerals. But, most importantly, our job is a ministry of presence, in which we -- we're there, we're there for our fellow agents. And the reason that's relevant in my mind --

MS. RANSOM: Objection. No question is pending before this witness.

THE COURT: That's true. Sustained. Go ahead with your next question.

Q. Adam, do you deal with agents who are

-**43** 

( )

assaultive of their wives or agents who have been assaulted by their husbands?

- I personally have not, not in any case that I have had to work with.
  - But you have been trained in it? Q.
  - Yes. sir.
- And, Adam, in the course of your training, Q. Border Patrol, well, you're Leizza's brother; correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- Have you had concerns that Leizza might have Q. some mental health disorder that needed to be followed up on?

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled as far as it goes. You may answer yes or no. You may have already answered, I didn't hear what the answer was.

- Yes, sir. The answer is yes.
- one of the things that you use often at Border Patrol is called the circle?

THE COURT: Called a "circle"?

MR. HICKS: I'll get it here in just a minute.

- I misspoke, Adam. It's called a power and Q. control wheel?
  - Yes, sir, chaplains use that. Α.
  - And other people use it as well; correct? Q.

\_13

( )

- A. Many people who work in therapy, especially those who help and counsel victims of abuse, yes.
  - Q. And what is the power and control wheel?

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, the State wants to make a disclosure objection. This witness was identified as a witness last week, but it was not as an expert witness on domestic abuse or the ramifications thereof. There's mention to this witness having training to that effect, but as far as what he's anticipated to testify to, it's information about his intent to adopt children and knowledge of his sister and information that Leizza's provided him since the case began.

THE COURT: Mr. Hicks?

MR. HICKS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. HICKS: What I hoped to introduce is that Adam took the power and control wheel and an article called Ten Symptoms of Asperger's, Know the Signs, and he went through and filled it out with his knowledge of the things that he recognized in Leizza that -- that fit that diagnosis.

THE COURT: How do you respond to the objection that there was no disclosure of this witness as an expert?

7

5

8

9

10

11

-33

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

1

25

There certainly was no disclosure MS. RANSOM: of him testifying that his sister has Asperger's. That is nowhere in this disclosure.

MR. HICKS: No, and I'm not asking him to testify to it. I simply am having him identify a document that is Ten Symptoms of Asperger's, which was disclosed, and his writing down underneath each symptom the things he observed in Leizza. I'm not saying he is saying she has Asperger's. It's just things that fit this definition.

THE COURT: Has this witness been identified as an expert?

No. I'm not asking him to testify MR. HICKS: as an expert.

THE COURT: Kind of sounds that way to me. mean, he can certainly testify as to things he observed about his sister, to the extent that they're relevant, but to tie them into signs and symptoms of Asperger's or signs and symptoms of something else, I think that gets into the realm that someone would have to be an expert to do that. He doesn't have to be an expert to say what he observed about his sister, though.

MR. HICKS: This is a sentencing hearing, Your It makes it a different thing, because in a sentencing hearing we can go to an encyclopedia or go

2.3

( )

to the web and find something that is not expert but which is helpful in allowing the court to understand what the court is dealing with.

THE COURT: Well, and we sort of got into this area earlier today. In sentencing proceedings, yes, I get a lot of things, I have gotten articles from learned publications and encyclopedia entries and that sort of thing, but here you're presenting this as in connection with the testimony of a witness who has not been disclosed as an expert. For all I know maybe he is an expert in this area, but he wasn't, from what I've heard anyway this morning, he wasn't disclosed as an expert. That's the problem.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I'm not using him as an expert. I'm using him as an observer. For example, the first one is underdeveloped social skills. That doesn't take an expert to look at his sister and see if there are things about her.

THE COURT: You're right, that doesn't. But in order to tie his observations about his sister into a checklist or a wheel or some other set of standards such as I think you're trying to get at, that would require an expert qualification.

I'm going to sustain the objection to the question as posed. I will permit Mr. Alcantara to

^5 

( )

testify bout his observations of his sister in the family dynamic but without what he would have to be qualified as an expert to say as a backdrop for his observations. You may proceed.

BY MR. HICKS:

Q. Adam, tell me how Leizza expresses herself.

MS. RANSOM: What does the witness have in

front of him, Your Honor?

THE COURT: If you would turn that -- it's being handed to me -- it's Defendant's Exhibit I. It's the article Ten Symptoms of Asperger's Syndrome, Know the Signs. Now I have it, and I'll pass it on to the clerk.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, why can't he use to refresh himself his statements that he made in response to that article? He's not testifying to what --

THE COURT: I thought that was the article.

MR. HICKS: No, part of it's the article but underneath it in each one there is a category of his observations.

THE COURT: You haven't shown that he can't remember his observations without his recollection being refreshed, so if he needs his recollection refreshed, we can hear about that and deal with that.

So for the record the witness no longer has

( )

25

that exhibit, which was marked Defendant's I. Go ahead.

### BY MR. HICKS:

- Q. Adam, when did you write down your responses more or less in that article Ten Symptoms of Asperger's?
- A. To my recollection approximately two months ago. I cannot recall the date, I'm sorry.
- Q. And do you recall everything that you wrote down?
  - A. I do not recall everything I wrote down, no.
- Q. Were your observations of Leizza true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is there any reason that you would ever lie to a court?
- A. There is no reason that I would lie to the court.
- MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I would ask that he be allowed to have it in front of him, if he needs to refer to it, he would be able to do so.
- THE COURT: Ask him specific questions without Exhibit I in front of him. If there's something he doesn't remember or needs to refresh his recollection about, and if looking at Exhibit I would refresh his

4

3

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

. 1

25

recollection, then we can do it that way.

MR. HICKS: Okay.

- How does Leizza express herself?
- Leizza does not express herself like most people who, for lack of a better word, are normal or rational. Shall I elaborate?
  - Q. Yes.

MS. RANSOM: can we have foundation as to how frequently this witness has interacted with his sister? The doctor's report indicates that -- the 2018 evaluation indicates Ms. Adams stated she didn't have a very significant relationship with her brother. I'm not sure which brother she may have been referring to.

THE COURT: Nor am I. It sounds more like cross-examination. I think as the defendant's brother, the witness has enough foundation to answer. overruled.

- Q. Go ahead and elaborate.
- A. Leizza is awkward socially, and let me give some examples. When Leizza is around people who should be in her peer group, same age but are, again, for lack of a better word "popular," the popular crowd, she's uncomfortable. And in my -- in my experience with her, my observations with her she is uncomfortable with them because she cannot relate with them, their wit, their

( )

humor, which does not coincide with hers, which is, in my experience, a very simplistic, childish, child-like humor, very unsophisticated.

so when she speaks to people, depending on the person, when she is with people who are of some kind of stature, someone with authority, authority figure, she tends to, if she has to be with them or in their presence, she will speak to them in low tones, monotone and with downcast eyes, obviously very uncomfortable if she speaks to them at all.

When she is with her peers, well, there's only a certain group of people that -- there are certain people that I've noticed that she will gravitate towards, and that would be other people who are not in the popular group, people who -- I don't know if outcast, not outcast -- but people who when I was in high school they would be like the geeks or the nerds or --

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Narrative answer.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HICKS: Okay.

THE COURT: Wait for the next question.

MR. HICKS: Let me ask you the next question.

Q. When Leizza speaks, what is it about her, if there's anything, that makes it difficult to have a

( )

conversation with her?

A. Leizza's ideas are not well expressed. Often she is disjointed, and it seems, in my mind, that when she speaks, that she assumes people understand what she's saying and oftentimes don't.

when she is confronted or asked about something that is very personal to her, something that could be embarrassing, she can shut down. When she is asked in a way that is like an interrogation, an aggressive, what she might seem to feel is aggressive, she will shut down, she will not speak.

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may stand but stop there, wait for the next question. Go ahead, Mr. Hicks.

- Q. How is her eye contact with people?
- A. It tends to be averted, even with me sometime, a lot of the times.
- Q. She doesn't -- what you're saying is she doesn't maintain any eye contact?
  - A. Correct, sir.
  - Q. And how are her facial expressions?
- A. I would describe them often as dead, meaning expressionless, often, not all the time. But certain people with whom she has a rapport, whom she is

5

10

16

19

23

25

comfortable with, that would include small children and elderly people, she expresses herself much more openly.

- Q. How does she come across as you've observed it, to other people?
- A. Depending on the situation, if she feels that she has been wronged, I would describe her attitude as petulant, like a child, and I don't know if I can speak more to that without conjecture or speculation. When she is with family, she tends to be more open because we have a rapport, we have a rapport with her. Close friends from her childhood she has very few confidantes in which she expresses herself openly and shares her --
- Q. In that regard, your mother and father are both of Filipino descent?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the two major things in their lives or three major things in their lives, two major things are their Mormon religion; correct?
  - A. As Filipinos?
  - Q. No, just your parents.
  - A. In my parents' life, yes, their religion, yes.
  - Q. And that's true of your brothers and sisters?
  - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. And is there anything else that captures Leizza's attention other than her church?

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

**43** 

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

~ ~ [

25

- A. Leizza has a limited amount of interests, and some of them would include, I believe it's knitting, music, and most especially her children.
- Q. And other than that she's just not interested; correct?
  - A. I think that could be a correct statement.
  - Q. How loud does Leizza talk?
- A. Again, depending on her environment and the people with whom she is sharing space, she can be loud and boisterous and ebullient with family, and with people whom she does not have a rapport, she tends to be quiet and sometimes even morose.
  - Q. Does she talk loud or soft?
- A. When she speaks with us, loud; when she speaks with other people, in lower tones.
- Q. Is Leizza graceful? Is she a person that's coordinated?

MS. RANSOM: Object. Relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

- A. Leizza has tended to be since our childhood awkward, and I think that the word graceless would aptly -- she can be clumsy, often is clumsy.
  - Q. How is her writing skills?
  - A. Illegible.
  - Q. She can read them?

- 3
- 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- -1.3
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 25

- She can read them. Α.
- But you can't? Q.
- Α. Only with great difficulty, sir.
- Does Leizza -- talk about Leizza and her Q. church. How often does she go to church?
- To my knowledge, she goes to church every Α. Sunday when she is physically able.
  - Does she pray? Q.
  - She does. Α.
  - Does she have a set routine? Q.
- She does. She routinely prays with, not just Α. by herself, but on a nightly basis she will call a family member. If she cannot pray with someone with whom she lives, she insists that we pray with her, and that means it's a speaker phone or teleconference with us in San Diego. She has to pray with her family every That is part of her routine. night.
  - What about every morning?
  - She prays every morning.
- And does she miss mornings, or is it a routine Q. or a ritual?
- In my observation that is a ritual, but I cannot speak, I'm not there to observe her pray every morning.
  - You've indicated that she has a limited range Q.

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

of interests. Those include the things that you spoke about previously?

- Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I'm sure there are more. I cannot document every single interest that she has.
- Would you say that Leizza is socially adept, Q. or does she have a problem interacting with other people?
  - Leizza is socially inept. Α.
- Would you say that she is -- her attention's Q. on other people or on herself?
- She is mostly withdrawn, can be self-absorbed A. unless with people with whom she shares a rapport.
  - And you say that Leizza likes music? Q.
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - How did she learn to play the piano? Q.
- Leizza, despite her deficiencies social-wise, has excelled in music. To my understanding she taught herself to play the piano. She did not take lessons that I witnessed growing up, she taught herself just through persistence and dedication to practice, and she even plays the piano at church.
- And how long has she been playing the piano? Q. And it's just with the youth group; right?
- I don't know if it's with the youth or the Α. women's group, the relief society, which is the women's

Did

16 17 18

19

20

21

22 23

25

meetings in the church block of meetings. church?

Yes, sir. When you became -- let me ask you this. Q.

Q.

you at some time -- what is the power and control wheel?

model --11

12

lack of disclosure. This is expert testimony.

THE COURT: was there any disclosure of the power and control wheel ---

The power and control wheel is a diagram or

MS. RANSOM: Renewed objection, foundation and

MR. HICKS: Your Honor --

group at church, I don't know how many or which

capacities, but there are pianists for different

But she's good enough that she plays at

THE COURT: -- as it pertains to this witness?

MR. HICKS: Well, I don't know as it pertains to this witness, but based on the power and control wheel that is produced by the National Center on Domestic and Sexual Violence, and which Adam uses often, he created a questionnaire.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, this was not disclosed to the State as a topic of testimony related to this witness.

19

25

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, it was disclosed. There's a questionnaire to Leizza prepared by Adam Alcantara.

THE COURT: When was that disclosed, and do you have any disclosure document to show them? MR. HICKS: Absolutely.

MS. RANSOM: The State has it right here where it does not discuss that Mr. Alcantara will be talking about a power and control wheel.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Hicks, do you have something that shows he was going to be doing that?

MR. HICKS: Well, Your Honor, I disclosed a questionnaire that was prepared by Adam Alcantara written on the top that has his questions and Leizza's answers to those questions. I disclosed the document.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're holding something up. And it looks to me as though that's something I got. Take a look at what I have.

MR. HICKS: I don't think you've got it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I got it along with my copy of your -- it says, "Questionnaire for Leizza Prepared by Adam Alcantara." When was this submitted?

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, before we started this hearing, I specifically brought up the issue that there

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

₹3

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

25

may not have been a great deal of time for disclosures, but that if the State was willing to waive that issue, then I was willing to proceed. And so I don't know, but it was disclosed last week sometime. I don't know It wasn't disclosed on Friday. It was disclosed on Wednesday probably by fax or e-mail, and it clearly relates what it is.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, the State's documentation is obstructed by -- I got it on August 9th, and there is no -- the name Adam is blocked out by a fax cover sheet. I can't tell what this is, and nor would I have been able to, honestly, other than maybe even thinking it was about one of his actual expert reports, because Adam Alcantara is disclosed as a witness who is going to talk about his knowledge of Leizza, the family, and information he's learned from Leizza since the case began. He'll testify he and his wife are going to be -- are requesting that they will adopt the children.

If this is information learned from Leizza since the case began, how is the State supposed to really comprehend that when we can't see the author of this questionnaire? And how is this relevant to any personal knowledge this individual may have from interacting with her? He's written her this

9 10

11

12

3

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

25

questionnaire saying, "Say how Paul hurt you." He can get up and say that.

THE COURT: Right. Ms. Ransom, you were quoting from something previously saying what this witness was going to testify to. Please identify what you were quoting from.

MS. RANSOM: Yes, Your Honor, Defendant's Disclosure for Presentence Hearing and Sentencing received by the State on August 9th at 2:40 p.m. via There is a listing -- this is after the State filed its objection to the continuance, noting that it hadn't received any disclosures. We received this promptly thereafter, and I was able to review it, and it identifies the topic areas that each witness is supposed to cover.

THE COURT: May I see it?

MS. RANSOM: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, there's no way I can know that the fax doesn't -- that the fax cuts off a part of somebody's name.

THE COURT: Well, taking a look at this, and I'm looking specifically at the first page of Defendant's Disclosure for Presentence Hearing and/ Sentencing, it's not as clear as it might be or should be, but it does say, among other things, that this

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

73

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

witness counsels Border Patrol agents and their wives and families regarding battered spouse syndrome, spousal abuse, child abuse, and a variety of other topics that come up. I'll call them notes, I don't know if that's how the witness would refer to them, that set of notes with the witness's observations, that was also disclosed.

If this were a trial, I'd say it's not good enough disclosure, not timely enough, not specific enough; however, for purposes of sentencing, I will say that is good enough. So the objection is overruled. we will stop here, however. Ms. Ransom, let me hand you your document back. Thank you.

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, just so I don't forget when we come back from lunch, can I just note for the record that the testimony has not borne out the topic that was disclosed, because he said he has not, in fact, counseled any spousal abuse within Border Patrol, although he was trained.

THE COURT: Well, he did have some training. Yes, the record may show your position. My view remains the same. I'll overrule the objection. But we'll stop here, and we'll start up again at 1:45. do have a mental health hearing at 1:30. Just for the benefit of witnesses and spectators, that kind of

*-*33

hearing is closed. It shouldn't take any longer than 15 minutes, but it does mean that if you come at 1:30 and try to get in the courtroom, it will be locked, you wouldn't be able to come in. We will start up again on this matter at 1:45 this afternoon. Thank you.

(Recess held from 12:01 to 1:43 p.m.)

Adams, CR-2017-425. Thank you. You may all be seated. Ms. Adams is present, represented by Mr. Hicks. The State is represented by Ms. Ransom. Detective Borquez is here. And I believe it was Mr. Adam Alcantara who was on the stand. Maybe he's on his way. Here he is.

Mr. Alcantara, if you would take the witness stand again, you are still under oath, sir. All right.

And still direct examination.

Mr. Hicks, you may proceed.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, before we get too far along. I want to do a couple of household things.

THE COURT: All right. What would you like to do?

MR. HICKS: I would introduce a few of these exhibits.

Q. Mr. Alcantara, I put in front of you some photographs. They're labeled Exhibits A through G, I believe. Would you look through those, starting with

the first one, and tell me what it is?

A. Yes. Exhibit A is Leizza, my sister, and her two children, and and and then my other nephew, this is Ben, and he's not one of Leizza's children.

- Q. Okay. And do you know where that occurred?
- A. This is outside of one of our chapels.
- Q. one of your chapels here or in San Diego?
- A. This is in Bisbee.
- Q. And let me ask you. Were you there for that ceremony?
  - A. I was not there for the baptism.
  - Q. okay. But your wife was; right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Defendant's E, ask you if you can identify that?
- A. This photo was taken in San Diego on the front lawn of the San Diego temple of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints. In the picture are Leizza, my sister; on the far left; next to her; in the center is in her arms; standing next to Leizza in her embrace; and off to the right.
  - Q. And those are Leizza and her family; correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I move for admission of Exhibit E.

THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit E?

MS. RANSOM: Can we just clarify time frame?

Otherwise, the State doesn't have an objection to the photographs.

THE COURT: Sure.

Mr. Alcantara, when was Exhibit E taken, if you know?

THE WITNESS: I do not know. It looks to be possibly two years old. The children are younger in this photo, and I was not there when that photo was taken.

THE COURT: But you think, based on the appearance --

THE WITNESS: Based on the appearance.

THE COURT: -- two years?

THE WITNESS: It may have been two years, may have been longer, I cannot tell.

THE COURT: Exhibit E shall be marked and received.

Q. Would you take a look at Exhibit G and let me ask you if you recognize what that is. Did I show it -- I did. Let me ask if you recognize what that is?

THE COURT: Which one is that, Exhibit G?

MR. HICKS: Exhibit G.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- A. Exhibit G, this photo depicts Leizza, Paul Adams, and this looks like This is a long time ago. I cannot be sure because their age and the difference in their appearance now, but it appears to be the smallest in this picture.
- Q. Is that a family photo? Everybody in there is Leizza's family?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it appears to have been taken some time ago, but you can recognize all the people in there are Leizza, Paul Adams, and their family at that time?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. HICKS: Move for admission of Exhibit G.

MS. RANSOM: Are we talking more than five years ago?

THE WITNESS: I would say at least five years.

MS. RANSOM: No objection with the clarification.

THE COURT: Exhibit G shall be marked and received.

- Q. Let's go back briefly to the questionnaire to Leizza that you prepared. When did you prepare it?
  - A. I would estimate two months.

- Q. And did you -- the questions are yours; correct?
  - A. On the --
- Q. I'm sorry, I don't have that in front of you. Let me give it to you. You prepared the questions?
- A. In this questionnaire, yes, I prepared these questions.
  - Q. And who prepared the answers?
  - A. My sister Leizza.
  - Q. Why did you do a written questionnaire?
- A. Because I know my sister is very inept at expressing her feelings and giving answers, especially on serious topics such as this one in which she normally shuts down, and if she's going to give answers she normally gives them piecemeal, little bits, and they're usually the ideas are never complete. But because I know that she communicates a lot better, at least in my experience, writing, texting, e-mailing, Facebook, texts more openly and is more articulate in communicating in that fashion, I chose this way of asking these questions to her.
  - Q. okay.
  - A. In written format. Or typed.
- MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, can we have more foundation how he knows this is Leizza Adams' writing

these responses?

THE COURT: Lay that foundation.

MR. HICKS: I missed it. How he knows what?

THE COURT: That these are Leizza Adams'

responses.

Q. How do you know these are Leizza Adams' responses?

A. When I created this questionnaire, I e-mailed it to her, we discussed that I would be e-mailing her a questionnaire over the phone, and then I e-mailed it. After formatting this questionnaire, I e-mailed it to her. She responded, and over the course of days she would answer these questions in her own words and to verify that it was indeed her.

The next time that I visited her after we formatted -- I formatted and sent her this questionnaire and she started to answer the questions, I went to where she was living and I asked further questions for clarification sake on what certain answers meant to make them more understandable to somebody who would read it, including myself.

- Q. So basically you worked with her and worked with her and worked with her but the answer is completely her?
  - A. Those are all her answers, her words.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I move for admission of the questionnaire and the answers.

THE COURT: All right. Any additional objections based or, excuse me, other than the objections already raised?

MS. RANSOM: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Has the questionnaire been marked for identification?

MR. HICKS: Yes. This one hasn't but that one has.

THE COURT: As what?

MR. HICKS: Defendant's Exhibit H.

THE COURT: H. All right. Exhibit H shall be marked and received.

MR. HICKS: Shall be marked and admitted?

THE COURT: I said marked and received, but admitted is a good word, too.

MR. HICKS: I just want to make sure I heard the correct answer.

THE COURT: Yes.

Q. So, Adam, I'm not going to go through the entire thing, because there's a number of questions, but I wanted to go through with you the question on the last page that "Describe how Paul would sexually abuse you. Talk about different events or reoccurring events

in which he systematically abused you. Be as descriptive as possible and include places and dates if you can." Was that the question that you asked her?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what follows are those -- is that the answer that she gave you?
  - A. These are the answers she gave me.
- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, you had to go to her and talk to her and clarify, and she would type, but in the end you went to her and assured yourself that these were her written answers?
  - A. Yes, sir, personally.
  - Q. She typed them herself?
  - A. She typed them herself. I watched her do it.
- Q. Okay. And in her answer she starts by saying, "He said if I didn't want to have sex then he would rape me. He started the first week of marriage. He did it in his Honda Civic."
- MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, the State objects to counsel reading this into the record. He already indicated he intends to call his client. This seems to be an end run around having to call his client.
- THE COURT: Well, the document is in evidence so.
  - MS. RANSOM: Your Honor can read it.

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

- THE COURT: I can read it. I'll sustain the objection based on the fact it's in, and I really don't need anyone reading it for me, so you may proceed with the next question.
- Were some of the things contained in that 0. answer things that you had not known before?
  - Yes, sir.
- Did any of the items contained in the answer explain anything to you as to why Leizza acts as she does?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Which ones? Q.
- I can think of several things. For one thing her reticence to speak about the abuse perpetuated upon It's very -- it's embarrassing. It would be embarrassing and shameful for her to put this out for anyone to read about, talk about, especially in a setting like this. And I'll -- if you have any other questions, I'll stop there for now.
- MR. HICKS: The very last paragraph -- Your Honor, may I just read that to him and ask him what his thoughts on that are?
- MS. RANSOM: The State's going to raise a new objection to self-serving hearsay, Your Honor, with respect to all of the statements that are attributed to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

25

( 1

Leizza Adams.

THE COURT: Well, the document is in evidence That objection is overruled. You don't have to now. read the whole paragraph, you can focus his attention and ask him something else. I'm concerned about the time here. We only have this afternoon for this hearing, and I want to give all the witnesses an opportunity to come forward and give evidence, so the objection is sustained on that basis. Go ahead.

MR. HICKS: Okay. I'm going to leave it for the court to read. I may quote from it to some extent in my closing, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Sir, if you would hand me that marked exhibit, I'll pass it along to the clerk. That's Exhibit G. Now the clerk has it.

> THE CLERK: Η.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I said G. That was It's Exhibit H in evidence.

- Adam, at some stage did you become aware that Q. Leizza was trying to find a journal?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - Okay. When was that, if you recall? Q.
- I would estimate a year ago. It seems like it was summertime. I cannot be sure. Definitely months ago.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

**13** 

⊥4

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

----

25

- Q. Okay. And what was the request?
- A. Leizza requested that we look for her journal. It wasn't with her here in Arizona, it was in storage in San Diego, so we looked through the storage, our storage sheds. She gave a description of what the journal was, she explained that it was something that she was asked to go over, but she couldn't read through her past entries from a certain time period unless she had that journal, so she had us look for it. And we found it and we made sure she got it back.
- Q. Okay. And that journal -- are you aware of Leizza's handwriting?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - .Q. Can anyone besides Leizza read that journal?
    - A. I cannot say.
    - Q. Okay. Is Leizza's handwriting good?
    - A. No, sir.
- Q. And so you sent the journal to her. What's the next thing that you heard about the journal?
- A. I if I recall correctly, when she received the journal, she told us, specifically my wife --
- Q. Okay. So your wife is who she told when she received the journal?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - MS. RANSOM: Objection. Self-serving hearsay

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

about what the defendant is telling people.

THE COURT: I haven't really heard the substance of it. I'll overrule the objection, let the answer as to this witness stand.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I'm just going to save it for the wife.

THE COURT: I was about to say that anything further on this topic would have to be directed to the witness's wife, but his answers up to this point shall You may proceed.

At any stage did Leizza express to you personally any dismay about the journal?

MS. RANSOM: Again, self-serving hearsay as to statements by defendant to her brother.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Adam, you and your wife have begun the Q. process of if the children are taken from Leizza trying to adopt them; correct?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- would you explain to the judge the things that you have done in that regard up to date?
- The process is the Interstate Compact for the Α. Placement of Children, ICPC. And since the children, the Adams children, are currently wards of the State of Arizona and we reside in California, we, seeking for

placement of the children as either legal guardians or potential adoptive parents, had to begin a process going through Health and Human Services in San Diego County, which meant that we had to -- and we informed DCS here that we would be doing that -- and so we began that process with HHS in San Diego.

we did as we were instructed, we took the orientation class for what they call resource families, we received our certification in that. Following that we took a 16-hour -- 16 hours of training in the trauma informed preservice training. We were certified in that. We did our background checks. We also had our -- we gave references to people.

- Q. Hang on. Background check, has it come back?
- A. I don't know the details of all that, but I'm just assuming that it has because they would not have interviewed us and finished, finalized the process if our background checks had come up with derogatory results.
- Q. So go to the next thing after the background check.
- A. After the background check we did the home study. So we had to move into a home that we could -- that had the space to accommodate not only our four children but also the six children, the six Adams

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 **⊥**4

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

children. At the time we started, we were going through, beginning the process, we didn't have a home large enough. And my parents, wanting to help, they gifted us their home and they -- so we arranged, we have a rental agreement with them. We moved into that It's in a different city in San Diego county.

And we did modifications, remodeling, updates, upgrades to the home, spending hundreds of work hours and thousands of dollars for roofing, painting, upgrading the floors, remodeling the bathroom and so on and so forth in order for this to go through to pass the inspection.

The caseworker from HHS, specifically for the ICPC process, came to the house, she inspected it, she passed it or we passed, and then from there the process continued. And after that we were interviewed by another caseworker. The children and ourselves, the adults in the home, were interviewed.

And upon passing the interviews, the caseworker told us that she would be sending her recommendation for approval to the higher-ups in that process, and she informed us that once that approval was made, that they would communicate that approval that we had done our part in the ICPC process to DCS in Arizona.

8

9

10

11

**~13** 

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

- Q. Have you hired an attorney in Arizona to attempt to intervene in the dependency?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At this stage the plan is for reunification, so you were not allowed to do so?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Adam, do you visit the children? Do you visit Leizza's children, the Adams children?
  - A. Yes, sir, I visit the Adams children.
  - Q. How often do you visit them?
- A. I visit them maybe on average of once every six to eight weeks when my -- and this is going back since last year -- my wife and children. So when my work schedule allows me to do that, I do it. My wife and children, they visit much more often than I do.
- Q. Have you spoken with any of the foster parents of the children?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What do they -- and let me ask you which ones have you spoken to? Maybe I shouldn't say that in court. Have you spoken to two of the foster parents?
  - A. At least two.
- Q. At least two. And what was the expression as far as how the children feel toward their mother?
  - A. They have expressed to me openly that the

Leizza to have occur tochildney

children love their mother.

Q. If the children are severed, would—it be better for the children to have Leizza -- say there was a severance in place and you adopted them, would it be better for the children to have Leizza in their lives or not?

MS. RANSOM: Foundation.

A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Overruled. Answer may stand.

Q. And why?

A. Basing upon my experience and my training, the well-being of families, family members, thrives best when they have their familial connections. Obviously, ideally in healthy situations where there is love and there is support, when there is a disconnection in familial units, in my experience and my training, there is lack of stability.

I have seen where in many families torn apart, either through loss of life or divorce or adoption, losing parental rights and whatnot, that the children always suffer. So I have seen that when children are taken away from the people that they grew up with, the first people to them tell them that I love them, the people who raised them, who taught them to read, write, sing, and all that, that is a connection severed, and

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

1.5 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

( }

25

that contributes to the trauma that these children undergo.

Not -- and that is apart from not just -there's the trauma of separation, there's the trauma of going into a new home, people they do not know, there's the trauma of going to a new school, there's the trauma of the new set of people they have to associate with in the new community, the new church, the new school. All of these things contribute to lack of stability or, in other words, lack of security.

- Adam, do you also participate -- and this is Q. to change the subject a little bit -- in the Boy Scouts of America?
- Yes, sir. I have participated in the Boy Α. Scouts since 2009.

I believe that's all I have, Your MR. HICKS: Honor.

> Thank you, Mr. Hicks. THE COURT:

Ms. Ransom, cross?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MS. RANSOM:

- Good afternoon, sir. Q.
- Good afternoon, Ma'am. Α.
- Before you heard of Paul Adams' arrest, when Q.

(1

23

25

is the last time you spoke with your sister, defendant Leizza Adams?

- I cannot recall a date or I'm just going to guess months before that.
  - Did the two of you speak regularly? Q.
  - No. Α.
  - Did the two of you see each other regularly? Q.
  - maybe twice or three times a year. Α.
- Did you confide in each other with respect to complaints about the marriage or complaints about kids?
  - Α. No.
- Did you consider yourself close to your sister before you heard of the arrest?
  - A . NO.
- okay. After the arrest did you communicate more often with her?
  - Yes, ma'am.
- Why did you communicate more often with her after the arrest?
  - concern for her and her children.
- Did you know before the arrest whether or not Q. your sister worked outside the home?
- I knew that she had a paper route at the beginning of their marriage when they came to Arizona.
  - But beyond that you didn't know how she spent Q.

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

her day-to-day?

- As far as I knew, she worked as a stay-at-home mom.
- Okay. And you didn't have any -- was that based upon your visits or how did you come to that understanding?
  - Visits and the brief communications we've had.
- All right. Did she discuss with you what she Q. would do with the children on a daily basis?
  - Only by my observance of what they did. Α.
- Okay. Did you, in your process of attempting 0. to adopt the Adams children, tell authorities that you would not allow them to see Leizza Adams at any point?
  - Restate the question. Α.
- At any point during your attempt to adopt the Adams children or efforts to intervene in the dependency, did you indicate that you would prevent the children from seeing their mother?
- I don't recall saying anything like that. It might have been if the court ruled that she not be able to see the children again, I would go by what the court rules, but I do not remember specifically ever saying that the children should never see their mother again.
  - Q. Did you say anything to that effect?
  - Not to my recollection.

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

19

18

21

20

22

23

( ) 25 Q. Did you ever indicate to authorities that you didn't really have a close relationship with Leizza Adams?

- A. To authorities such as?
- Q. During the course of attempting to intervene in the adoption or dependency.
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Okay. It's possible, you just can't recall?
  - A. I couldn't recall saying anything like that.
  - Q. You're a Border Patrol agent?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. How long have you been a Border Patrol agent?
  - A. Since 2009.
- Q. In the course of your duties you investigate crimes?
  - A. Crimes involving immigration.
  - Q. All right.
  - A. And smuggling of drugs, people.
- Q. Do you run into some people with bizarre personality traits in that world?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Sometimes they're socially inept?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. They're still responsible for their conduct?
  - A. I cannot say how responsible someone is if

21

22

23

25

they have a disorder. I cannot be the judge of how accountable they are for their actions.

- Q. Do you arrest them nonetheless?
- A. I have to.
- Q. Do socially inept people still have the capacity to understand right from wrong?
  - A. I think many of them do.
- Q. Do socially inept people still have the ability to lie when it suits them?
  - A. Many of them do.
- Q. Does your sister understand right from wrong based on your interactions with her?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. Did she ever tell you about the abuse in her household at all before Paul Adams' arrest?
  - A. Never.
  - q. Would you have helped wher?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Did she know that you would have helped her?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. When you interacted with her, did you ever have any belief that her -- or visited, did you ever have any concerns about the home?
  - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Was Paul Adams there when you would visit?

- 1. 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 1.5
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 25

- When I did visit their home personally it was Α. already after he was arrested.
- So when you previously talked about the three times plus per year?
  - That was when they would visit San Diego. Α.
  - They would come to San Diego? Q.
  - Yes. Α.
  - Who would be present in San Diego? Q.
  - Her family. Α.
  - Was Paul Adams also present? Q.
  - occasionally. Α.
  - All right. What did you think of Paul? Q.
  - Honestly, I never trusted him. Α.
- okay. Did you ever talk to your sister about Q. your concerns about her husband?
  - Α. Yes.
- All right. And let her know that if she had concerns, she could come to you?
- I don't know if I ever expressed that specifically.
- What did you say then about when you talked to Q. your sister about concerns?
  - It was right before they were married. Α.
  - What did you say? Q.
  - I expressed that I did not approve. Α.

1.7

Α.

No.

- Q. When did the trust concern come up?
- A. After they were married. And, yes, I think right before they were married, because for me the red flag was -- I did not have much, honestly, I did not have much interaction with him until -- not even, well, when we found out that they were planning to, in my recollection, they were planning to elope or get married without our knowledge, and when we found out about it, we had our concerns.
  - Q. All right.
- A. The concerns were why the secrecy, why not do it the way we were accustomed to doing it as a family, this being a family affair, why, that was our concern. Why would he want to do this without our knowledge, and so for me that was a huge red flag of why not be open about it, why not be up front about it. And despite my expression of concern, he wanted to continue with that plan --
  - Q. All right.
  - A. -- of getting married.
- Q. Did your parents talk to your sister about the marriage?
  - A. We did that together.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

- And was there ever any discussion with her Q. about reaching out if she ever needed help?
- Probably. I don't remember saying exact words Α. to that effect.
- You said your parents essentially gifted you Q. or at least are giving you a good rental property to help you out so you can help your sister's children; right?
  - Yes, ma'am.
- Do you think your parents would have done the Q. same for your sister if she needed it?
  - Yes. ma'am.
- I just want to make sure that I heard your 0. testimony right earlier. So although you're trained in domestic violence counseling, you never counseled domestic violence couples within the Border Patrol?
- Not couples, single individual, but not with the spouse. And it was not a Border Patrol agent.
  - As a chaplain, I'm sorry. Q.
  - As a chaplain.
- I apologize. So you haven't counseled Q. domestic violence individuals?
  - Not for that specific purpose, no. A.
- Have you ever counseled families trying to Q. reunify?

<sub>></sub>13

- A. Maybe not reunification but working through difficulties in marriage.
- Q. Is your counseling always that they maintain the situation they're in?
  - A. Yes, unless there is a safety concern.
  - Q. And what would be a safety concern to you?
  - A. Domestic violence.
- Q. Okay. What do you consider to be domestic violence?
- A. Anything from sexual abuse, physical abuse, physical violence, verbal and emotional abuse, economic abuse, using the children as leverage against a spouse, isolation, to name a few.
- Q. And you would be worried about those things, whether it was the father or the mother engaging in them; correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. And it's your opinion that your sister should be reunified with her children for their benefit?
- A. Them being dependents, I need to qualify my answer. The reason is my sister Leizza is not capable of supporting the children financially at this point, and her job experience, her work experience, would be a challenge for her to obtain a job that would be able to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 23

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

support her and the children and shelter them all, house them, feed them, clothe them, and provide for their other needs, curricular and otherwise, so that is the qualification to my answer that they, in effect, no, not at this time.

- Before Paul Adams was arrested, did your sister ever call you up and say "Paul keeps masturbating in front of the kids"?
  - No, ma'am. A.
  - "Hey, Paul's hitting me and the kids"? Q.
  - Α. No.
  - "Hey, Paul is sexually abusing me"? Q.
  - No. A.
  - or the kids? Q.
  - Α. No.
- Do you think it's beneficial for a child to be Q. in a home life where they're sexually abused?
  - A. Of course not.
- Do you think it's beneficial for a child to be Q. in a home life where they're beaten?
  - Of course not. Α.
- Are you aware there's been reports your sister hit one of the boys with a belt in the course of caring for them?
  - I have not, no.

12

-3

14 15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

- Q. Would that be a problem for you regarding reunification?
- A. It depends. And my answer is because when I was disciplined as a child, I got spanked with a belt.
- Q. Have you heard the testimony today that your sister was aware of since approximately 2010 that Paul Adams was raping M-1?
  - A. I heard that testimony, yes.
- Q. That give you concerns about reunification that someone who is aware that their eldest child is being raped turns her back and doesn't get help for them?
  - A. That is a concern, yes.
- Q. How about a parent who gets a child who's been abused back and cuts their hair off right away, does that give you concern?
  - A. Cuts their hair off?
- Q. A young girl, just been abused by her father, it's come out, first visit with mom, she cuts all her hair off; is that good parenting?
  - A. Can you define "cutting all hair off"?
- Q. Is that good parenting when her hair has been cut off?
  - A. On the face of this, no.
  - Q. Okay. How about a mother who turns her back

23

25

when father masturbates in front of the children; is that good parenting? Is that someone who should be reunified with their children? He does

- If a parent does that -- not believe
- 0. Yes or no.
- No. Α.
- A mother who's aware the father hits the children, should that be reunified with the children? Doesn't stop it, doesn't get help. Should a mother who is aware of domestic violence being committed against her children be reunified?

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, kind of an objection. she's using reunified with the children. I don't think that's Mr. Alcantara's testimony. Having her visit the children is different from reunification.

THE COURT: Well, you can ask about that on redirect. The objection is overruled.

- where were we?
- A mother who doesn't do anything despite knowledge of her children being hit by a father, should that mother be reunified with her children?
  - A. on the face of it, no.
- Q. All those things we've just gone over, is that -- are any of those things a good environment for children to be in?

Physical Abouton OKY

9

8

10

12

11

-13

14 15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

( )

- A. Of course not.
- Q. Are those good, solid things that a child should continue to be exposed to?
  - A. of course not.
- Q. Getting entirely away from that situation, is that going to be a way to heal and move forward?
  - A. That is a way, yes.
- Q. Is it a way to move forward to completely cut out a parent who is completely inept at parenting?
  - A. It's not necessarily.
- Q. Based upon all that we've gone over, do you belijeve some of these things didn't happen?
  - A. Such as everything you just said right now?
- Q. Do you believe that Paul Adams wasn't masturbating in front of the children?
- A. I have to believe he was if the report says he was, then I have -- I'm inclined to believe that.
- Q. po you think it's going to be beneficial for children's health on a going forward basis, based upon your training and experience that you were discussing with Mr. Hicks, that they be reunited with a mother who ignored that and failed to protect them from that for years?
  - A. Not -- no. No.
  - Q. same with hitting a belt. One of the children

. /

reported that Leizza Adams was hitting them with a belt; do you think that's a beneficial thing for a child to be reunited with?

- A. May not be. I'd have to see the whole situation for what it was.
- Q. Do you think Leizza Adams, after ignoring the fact that her children were being hit by her husband for years, do you think it's beneficial for their emotional healing, based upon your training and experience, to continue in a relationship with her?
  - A. Continue in a relationship, yes.
  - Q. Why?
- A. Again, for the reasons I stated about severance of ties.
- Q. Sometimes -- you also just admitted to me, though, that severance of ties is sometimes good when you're in --
  - A. Sometimes it is, yes.
  - Q. Why is this one different?
- A. Severance of ties, if the children are not in the custody of their mother, does not mean that they don't get to see their mother or talk to their mother or visit with their mother, and so that's how I qualify my answer.
  - Q. The mother is also a perpetrator of the abuse.

Okay for deix alto be with

4

8

10

11

12

3

15

14

16 1.7

18

19 20

21

22

23

- If the mother is a perpetrator, then it would not be in the best interests of the children to be with her if she is the perpetrator.
- If a mother knows full well what's happening to her children, whether it be hitting, sexual abuse, mental humiliation on a regular basis, if she knows full well and does nothing about it to stop it, is that a good thing to sever ties with her?
- To be in the same home, if that's what you mean?
- I mean no visitation any further so the children can move forward and heal.
  - For no visitation at all, no, not necessarily. Α.
  - Why not? Q.
- Because if the mother goes through rehabilitation and training, then she can be corrected, she can be helped, and so her mothering skills can be corrected after they have been influenced by the other parent then, yes, I think that with rehabilitation that if she can correct those things, complete severance as in no visitation whatsoever, that call may not be made.
- Q. Are you aware that your sister after approximately 18 months of rehabilitation has not progressed?
  - I am not aware that she has not progressed. I

Me 133 a

()

am aware that she has progressed.

Q. But you have no personal knowledge of that; correct?

- A. only in speaking with her and watching how she does and what her counselors tell her.
  - Q. so this is based upon her statements to you?
- A. And the statements of the people who work with her.
- Q. Who gave you those statements besides your sister?
- A. I don't know if I can name names, but the people who work with her, who I believe it's called Easter Seals Blake Foundation, Easter Seals I have heard them in meetings personally say that she is making progress.
  - q. When did you hear that?
- A. If I remember correctly it was an ART. I cannot remember the meaning of the acronym at this moment.
  - Q. How long ago was that?
  - A. I think it was last month.
- Q. Is that the only time you've ever heard that from a third party other than your sister?
- A. I believe I heard something to that effect from my sister's other lawyer, Mr. Caine, at a

\_2

- dependency hearing, something to that effect, if I remember correctly.
  - Q. When?
- A. I believe it was the last -- I think it was the last dependency hearing. I don't remember the date.
  - Q. Was that within the past month?
  - A. I believe so. I believe it was last month.
- Q. So all of your understanding of your sister making beneficial progress is from her, other than over the past month?
- A. From her, only from her, is that what you're saying?
- Q. It's from her other than over the past month where you've reported hearing it from third parties.
- A. I would hear it from those third parties in the last at least month.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever personally attended any of her sessions with her children?
  - A. What kind of sessions?
  - Q. Any of her visitations.
- A. Sibling -- let's see, I believe so. I'm trying to remember an occasion. I'm having difficulty recollecting a specific situation in which I did visit with the children and Leizza. I'm not remembering

Protective Cy ODG tot Whem seed Seizzy

right now.

3 5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

( } 25

Okay. But it's your opinion, based upon your training and experience, that a mother who ignored for approximately seven years that one or more of her children were being sexually, physically, and psychologically abused is a good environment for those children to return to?

- Α. To return to?
- Yes. Or visit with, either.
- I believe that -- well, I think this for me it's a multi-part answer. Again, going back to if a mother is rehabilitated that, yes, there can be visitation. I think that ties not be absolutely severed with a mother who can rehabilitate.
- A mother who's not rehabilitated, the answer is then no?
- If she's not rehabilitated, then I wouldn't say all ties cut and that the mother not be able to see or talk to or communicate with her children, I believe that's too far.
- MS. RANSOM: I'm sorry, can you read that answer back?

(Record read by reporter.)

so there's pretty much no circumstances under Q. which you would say that children should no longer see

Water per l Oval of extire No protective Capus

3

6

22

23

()

25

a parent who has participated in abuse of them over the years?

- I wouldn't say that specifically. The reason is if I know that a parent, let's say Leizza, for example, if I had any reason to believe she would perpetrate heinous crimes against these children, that she would, in fact, do that, no.
- If you knew your wife was performing oral sex Q. on your son, what would you do about it?
- We would talk about it, she would get Α. counseling, and if the law demanded that she pay consequences, then I would say that the law would have to do its job.
  - How would the law know? Q.
  - Well, either she would confess it or I would. Α.
  - would you go to the police and notify them? Q.
  - Yes, ma'am. Α.
  - You know your sister did not do that; correct? Q.
  - To my knowledge, that is correct. Α.
- She did not do that for approximately seven Q. years after finding out about that happening; correct?
- I don't know about seven years, but if that was seven years, if that is, in fact, true, then that would be correct if that was true.
  - And she had three more children after finding Q.

If your husband quit using restraints on you

after the first year that he raped you, would that possibly be a reason for you to become a victim instead of a perpetrator? In other words, if your husband started raping you your first week of marriage, and after a year he quit using the restraints on you -- MS. RANSOM: Objection. Calls for

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: I think that does. Sustained.

Q. Adam, if your husband forced you to have sex anally when you didn't want to and held you in place to do it, and did it often enough, would that at some stage cause you to become a victim instead of a perpetrator?

MS, RANSOM: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. Adam, if the husband intentionally hurt you and said that pain and joy in sex are related, pleasure and pain, so you need to process both, and he did it often enough, at some stage is it possible that you would cease to have the emotional ability to fight back?

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. In things like the diary, where Leizza sought out the diary that would have never been found otherwise, read in it something that she did not remember, insisted on going to the FBI agent at her church and telling him, him telling her no, no, you got to go to your lawyer, so you go to your lawyer and tell him, so that your lawyer tells the other attorney, even though if you don't do any of that, it can never be used against you because no one will ever know it.

MS. RANSOM: Objection. Leading, speculation, foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. Adam, if a spouse controlled every bit of your finances, controlled every bit of who came to your house, controlled every bit of what you did and blamed you for everything, if he used the children to harass you, if he acted like the master of the castle and used economic abuse, used coercion, used threats and used sexual abuse --

MS. RANSOM: Your Honor, counsel is testifying. Can you direct him not to speculate?

THE COURT: Counsel, I don't see how that can be a question for this witness. I'm not sure it would be a proper question even for a qualified psychologist. Sustained.

Honor.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. HICKS: I don't have anything, else Your

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hicks.

Mr. Alcantara, thank you very much, sir, you can go ahead and step down. Be careful of the step as you go.

Mr. Hicks, you may call your next witness.

MR. HICKS: Your Honor, I call Eneida Alcantara.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Alcantara? Yes, come forward, please. The clerk will administer the oath or affirmation.

(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: And, ma'am, you were here in the courtroom when I gave instructions to the previous witnesses, including your husband, so I'll ask you to keep those in mind. I'd like to make sure we can all hear your answers when you give them, so when you answer, please speak into the microphone. You can pull the microphone toward you or adjust it if you need to. And I apologize, I didn't quite catch your first name. would you tell me?

THE WITNESS: Eneida.

THE COURT: E-n-i --

THE WITNESS: E-n-e-i-d-a.